EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION ON THE HYDRODYNAMIC PERFORMANCE OF SUBMERGED POROUS TRIANGULAR BREAKWATER

Md. Ashraful Islam*,1, Md. Bashirul Islam2, Sajjad Ul Haque3, and Omar Abir4

1 *Lecturer of Water Resources Engineering, CUET, Bangladesh, e-mail:* md_ashraful@cuet.ac.bd
2 *Research Lecturer of Institute of River, Harbor and Environmental Science, CUET, Bangladesh, e-mail:* bashirul@cuet.ac.bd
3 *Undergraduate Student of Water Resources Engineering, CUET, Bangladesh, e-mail:* u1810010@student.cuet.ac.bd
4 *Undergraduate Student of Water Resources Engineering, CUET, Bangladesh, e-mail:* u1810029@student.cuet.ac.bd

*Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

This study was conducted in a two-dimensional wave flume to assess the performance of submerged triangular breakwaters with varying heights and porosities. The experiments involved assessing breakwaters having three varying heights (10 cm, 12 cm, and 14 cm) in a wave flume of 5 meters in length, 8 centimeters in width, and 25 centimeters in depth. Throughout the experiments, a consistent water depth of 15 centimeters was maintained, and four different wave conditions were studied. Data regarding water surface heights was gathered from five locations: two ahead of the breakwater, two behind it, and one above the breakwater. The study's findings revealed that both the relative height (h/d) and the porosity (n) of the breakwater had a significant impact on reducing transmitted wave height. As the relative breakwater width increases, the transmission coefficient (Kt) decreases, reaching a minimum of 0.32 for a solid triangular breakwater with an h/d of 0.93. Porosity has a greater effect on transmission in higher-submerged breakwaters. The reflection coefficient (Kr) increases with breakwater height and decreases with relative width, peaking at 0.43 for a solid 14 cm triangular breakwater. Porosity minimally impacts wave reflection coefficients for triangular breakwaters. Energy dissipation increases with the B/L ratio but decreases with porosity, reaching a maximum (Kd = 0.95) for highly submerged solid triangular breakwaters. Porosity significantly affects energy dissipation in highly submerged triangular breakwaters. Regression analysis demonstrates the influence of relative breakwater width and porosity on wave transmission, reflection, and energy dissipation relative to the submergence ratio. The analysis of water surface profiles and wave breaking patterns in this study holds great importance for the design and implementation of submerged breakwaters as effective coastal protection measures.

Keywords: Hydrodynamic performance, wave flume, submerged triangular breakwater, porous structure, coastal protection.

1. INTRODUCTION

Coastal areas are vital for human activity and economies, supporting marine life, fisheries, and agriculture (Martínez et al., 2007). Additionally, they play a key role in tourism and leisure pursuits (Phillips & Jones, 2006). However, these regions face recurring challenges like cyclones, flooding, surges, and erosion (Silva et al., 2014), necessitating protective measures to mitigate their impact.

Breakwaters serve as protective barriers against powerful waves in coastal areas and harbors, yet many traditional designs are prone to vulnerabilities. Among various conventional options, the rubble mound breakwater stands out, comprising a malleable trapezoidal structure with quarried rocks at its core and protective artificial armor (Akarsh & Chaudhary, 2021). Its resilience lies in its ability to withstand damage and facilitate easy repairs. However, it is prone to erosion, affecting sediment transport and necessitating regular maintenance. Considering this, submerged breakwaters are available as an alternative. Positioned below water level, they have minimal impact on seabed life, promote water exchange along beaches, and reduce pollution and erosion. Additionally, submerged breakwaters prove effective for navigation.

Various researchers have conducted experimental studies on the hydrodynamic performance of submerged breakwaters. Dong et al. (1996) explored wave transmission across a submerged triangular breakwater, showing its performance, and the topic was further investigated by numerous researchers. Ting et al. (2004) studied porosity effects on non-breaking surface waves over permeable submerged breakwaters, concluding that higher breakwater porosity reduces reflected wave height and affects energy dissipation. Chyon et al. (2017) investigated the performance of horizontal slotted submerged breakwaters under various porosities and wave periods in a two-dimensional wave flume. They found that as the breakwater width increased, wave reflection increased while transmission decreased. Additionally, energy loss went up with wider breakwaters and lower porosities. These findings highlight the breakwater's role in wave reduction and energy dissipation.

Hasan et al. (2022) explored concrete block shore protection against high waves using a submerged geotube breakwater. Results showed the revetment failed for waves over 1.5 meters. The geotube effectively reduced wave energy, with breakwater height and width playing key roles. Higher breakwater heights reduced incident waves due to induced breaking, while wider breakwaters increased wave reduction. Stability hinged on the transmitted wave height (below 1.5 meters); the revetment remained stable.

However, studies specifically related to submerged porous triangular breakwaters are scarce, needing further examination. To fill this gap, an analysis of the hydrodynamic performance of a submerged porous triangular breakwater was conducted in a two-dimensional wave tank. This study evaluated the hydrodynamic performance of both porous and solid structures in a triangular submerged breakwater, focusing on the analysis of reflected, transmitted, and absorbed wave energy.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Experimental Setup

The performance of the proposed triangular submerged porous breakwater is being assessed through experiments conducted in a two-dimensional wave flume at the Irrigation Laboratory of Chittagong University of Engineering and Technology (CUET). The flume was 5 meters long, 8 centimeters wide, and 25 centimeters deep. The breakwater was positioned at a distance of 2.5 meters from the wave generator. At the flume's end, a wave absorber mitigates transmitted waves through the submerged breakwaters. Five wave gauges were set to track water levels at distinct points, and a total

of 48 experimental runs were conducted. Figure 1 illustrates the experimental setup, while Figure 2 depicts the laboratory flume alongside both solid and porous breakwaters.


Figure 1: Details of experimental setup.

Figure 2: Laboratory wave flume (left), solid triangular breakwater (middle), and porous triangular breakwater (right).

The wave sponge absorber was positioned at the wave flume's end, spanning 60 centimeters and having a sloped surface with a 3:1 horizontal-to-vertical ratio. Its main purpose is to reduce the number of reflected waves by dispersing the wave energy as they pass through and over the breakwater.

2.2 Triangular Submerged Porous Breakwater

The solid and porous breakwaters, constructed from 4 mm polyvinyl chloride (PVC) sheets, varied in height—10 cm, 12 cm, and 14 cm—resulting in relative submergence (h/d) of 0.67, 0.80, and 0.93, respectively. Each breakwater type, except the solid variants, encompassed three distinct porosity levels. The 10 cm of breakwater had porosities of 28%, 33%, and 40%. Similarly, the 12 cm breakwater exhibited porosities of 26%, 30%, and 38%, while the 14 cm breakwater presented porosities of 20%, 28%, and 35%.

2.3 Experimental Run

Regular waves with varying periods (T) of 0.76 sec, 0.90 sec, 1.2 sec, and 1.5 sec were generated in the wave flume, maintaining a constant still water depth of 15 cm across all runs. The wave generator was adjusted before each run. Water level measurements were taken at five locations for different run conditions, denoted as a, b, c, and d, for periods of T = 1.50, 1.20, 0.90, and 0.76 seconds, respectively. The corresponding wavelengths were 1.74, 1.35, 0.96, and 0.76 meters, respectively, as detailed in **Table 1**, illustrating the test scenarios for the subsequent sections.

Table 1: Details of the experimental test scenarios.

	Breakwater Properties				Wave Properties		
Still Water Depth, d (m)	Breakwater Height, h (m)	Submergence, h/d	Breakwater Base Width, B (m)	Porosity, n	Time Period, T (sec)	Wave Length, L (m)	Incident Wave Height, Hi (cm)
0.15	0.10	0.67	0.1155	0	1.50, 1.20, 0.90, and 0.76	1.74, 1.35, 0.96, and 0.76	0.72 ~ 3.31
				0.28			
				0.33			
				0.40			
	0.12	0.80	0.1386	0			
				0.26			
				0.30			
				0.38			
	0.14	0.93	0.1617	0			
				0.20			
				0.28			
				0.35			

2.4 Reflection, Transmission, and Dissipation Coefficient Estimation

The reflection (K_r) and transmission coefficients (K_t) of the waves were computed by measuring the maximum (H_{max}) and minimum (H_{min}) wave heights. Those wave heights were captured both upstream (at the wave generator side) and downstream (at the wave absorber side) of the breakwater, following the methods outlined by Goda and Suzuki (1976).

The incident wave height (H_i) and reflected wave height (H_r) were calculated using the following formulas:

$$H_i = (H_{max} + H_{min}) / 2 \qquad (1)$$

$$H_r = (H_{max} - H_{min}) / 2 \qquad (2)$$

H_{max} represents the maximum wave height, typically measured at antinodes, while H_{min} refers to the minimum wave height, commonly measured at nodes.

The reflection coefficient (K_r) and transmission coefficient (K_t) were calculated using the following formulas:

$$K_r = H_r / H_i \qquad (3)$$

$$K_t = H_t / H_i \qquad (4)$$

Where H_t is the transmitted wave through the breakwater, and K_L is the energy loss coefficient derived using the relationship ($K_r^2 + K_t^2 + K_L^2 = 1$) established by Thornton & Calhoun (1972).

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1   Comparative Analysis of Water Surface Profiles

The introduction of the solid triangular submerged breakwater has resulted in a reduction in incoming wave energy. In Figure 3, the water surface changes at five different points over time are depicted for four distinct wave conditions across the three types of solid triangular breakwaters. It is observed in Figure 3 that for h = 10 cm with a wave period of T = 1.5 sec, the incident wave height was 1.9 cm, reflecting only 0.4 cm. Approximately 60.5% of the wave's height was transmitted downstream, while 22.2% was reflected. For T = 0.76 sec, the incident wave height increased to 3 cm, with a transmitted wave height of 1.89 cm. The 10 cm triangular solid breakwater reflected only 20% of the incident wave and transmitted 60%. When the submergence depth increased from 66.67% (h = 10 cm) to 80% (h = 12 cm), the transmitted wave decreased to 1.2 cm for longer waves. Conversely, for T = 0.90 seconds, the transmitted wave increased to 2 cm, marking the maximum transmission and reflection coefficients for this breakwater. With a 14 cm breakwater (93% submergence), reflection almost diminished for longer waves and reached about 8% for shorter waves.


Figure 3: Water surface variation concerning the time at five wave gauge points for (i) 10 cm, (ii) 12 cm, and (iii) 14 cm solid triangular breakwater for four-wave conditions (a, b, c, d).

In Figure 4, the incident wave height is 2.0 cm, and it decreases to 1.0 cm after passing through and reflecting off the 28% porous breakwater, resulting in a reflected wave height of 0.63 cm. Simultaneously, the 40% porous breakwater produces 1.5 cm transmitted wave from 1.9 cm incident wave. In contrast, the 33% porous breakwater generates a 0.06 cm reflected wave from a 1.6 cm incident wave for a wave period of T = 1.5 sec.


Figure 4: Water surface variation concerning the time at five wave gauge points for 10 cm triangular breakwater with porosities of (i) 0.28, (ii) 0.33, and (iii) 0.40 for four-wave conditions (a, b, c, d).

In Figure 5, the transmitted wave height for the porous breakwater (h = 12 cm) is notably lower. It measures only 1.4 cm for porosity of 0.26 and 1.3 cm for porosity of 0.30 for a wave period of T = 1.5 sec. Nearly 30% of the wave is reflected from the 38% porous triangular submerged breakwater. Meanwhile, as shown in Figure 6, the transmitted wave height is lower compared to other breakwater types. The reflected wave increases due to the increased breakwater submergence. Also, reflection rises with decreasing porosity for the 1.5 sec wave period. Specifically, the transmitted wave height measures 1.5 cm for 35% porous breakwater, higher than the 1.3 cm observed with 20% porous breakwater.

Figure 5: Water surface variation concerning the time at five wave gauge points for 12 cm triangular breakwater with porosities of (i) 0.26, (ii) 0.30, and (iii) 0.38 for four-wave conditions (a, b, c, d).

(i)   **(ii)**   **(iii)**

Figure 6: Water surface variation concerning the time at five wave gauge points for 14 cm triangular breakwater with porosities of (i) 0.20, (ii) 0.28, and (iii) 0.35 for four-wave conditions (a, b, c, d).

The water surface variation observed for probe 3 displays a non-sinusoidal pattern over time (see Figures 2-6), indicating non-linearity generated primarily at the breakwater due to energy dissipation. This pattern suggests that an increase in submergence leads to decreased wave transmission but increased wave reflection. Conversely, an increase in porosity decreases reflection but increases wave transmission.

3.2 Impact of Relative Submergence (h/d) and Relative Breakwater Width (B/L) on Wave Transmission Coefficient, K_t

Figure 7(a) depicts the correlation between the transmission coefficient (Kt) and the relative breakwater width (B/L) for three triangular solid breakwaters with submergences (h/d) of 0.92, 0.80, and 0.67. Generally, as the relative breakwater width increases, the transmission coefficient (Kt) decreases across most cases, indicating the width's impact on reducing transmitted waves. This behavior might be attributed to increased friction between the wider breakwater and transmitted waves, resulting in greater energy loss. Moreover, when waves encounter this wider structure, abrupt changes in water particle velocity and acceleration occur, generating turbulence that dissipates wave energy. In Figure 7(a), it is shown that the transmission coefficient (Kt) decreases with higher

submergences. The lowest transmission coefficient (Kt) is observed at h/d = 0.93, indicating its effectiveness in interacting with most waves. Specifically, 14 cm of solid triangular breakwater at a B/L of 0.21 achieves a minimum transmission coefficient (Kt) of 0.32, creating a calmer leeward side. For 93% submergence, Kt ranges from 0.32 to 0.44; for 80% submergence, it's between 0.42 and 0.72; and for 67% submergence, it varies from 0.6 to 0.78.

Figures 8(a), (b), and (c) depict the impact of porosity on wave transmission through the porous breakwater. Across all cases, it is shown that wave transmission coefficients decrease as the relative breakwater width (B/L) increases. Additionally, increases in porosity lead to higher wave transmission rates. Specifically, transmission coefficients vary between 0.60 and 0.85 for 67% submergence but only between 0.33 and 0.85 for 93% submergence. This indicates that while porosity influences wave transmission, the depth of submergence has a more substantial impact on the transmission of incident waves across all scenarios.


(a)   (b)   (c)   (d)

Figure 7: Effect of relative breakwater width (B/L) on transmission coefficient (Kt) for (a) solid breakwaters, (b) 10 cm, (c) 12 cm, and (d) 14 cm breakwater.


(a)   **(b)**   **(c)**

Figure 8: Effect of porosity on transmission coefficient (Kt) for (a) 10 cm, (b) 12 cm, and (c) 14 cm breakwater.

3.3 Impact of Relative Submergence (h/d) and Relative Breakwater Width (B/L) on Wave Reflection Coefficient, K_r

Figure 9 demonstrates the relationship between reflection coefficients (Kr) and relative breakwater width (B/L). Minimum reflection occurs for low-submerged, high-porosity breakwaters, particularly for a 10 cm breakwater with 40% porosity. In Figures 9(a)-(d), Kr demonstrates a negative correlation with B/L: an increase in B/L leads to a decrease in the reflection coefficient. The maximum reflection coefficient is observed for a 14 cm solid triangular breakwater with 93% submergence. Reflection

coefficients range from near zero to 40% for different porosities. In Figure 10, porosity displays a negative relationship with wave reflections; as porosity increases, the reflection coefficient decreases. Additionally, while Kr increases with decreasing relative breakwater width (B/L), its impact on wave reflections is relatively minor.

3.4 Impact of Relative Submergence (h/d) and Relative Breakwater Width (B/L) on Wave Energy Dissipation Coefficient, K_d

In Figure 11(a), it is shown that the energy dissipation coefficient (Kd) varies from 0.6 to 0.95 for solid-type breakwaters. With an increase in the relative breakwater width (B/L), energy dissipation (Kd) also increases due to the larger breakwater base interacting more with waves and dissipating more energy. Additionally, Kd ranges from 0.6 to 0.75 for h/d of 0.67, 0.63 to 0.88 for h/d of 0.80, and 0.90 to 0.95 for h/d of 0.93. This suggests that a higher depth of submergence dissipates more wave energy than a lower breakwater. Notably, the majority of the wave energy concentrates near the surface, dissipating as the breakwater height increases. In Figure 11(b), it is shown that for a 10 cm breakwater height, the minimum energy dissipation coefficient (Kd) is observed at a B/L of 0.093 for both porous and solid breakwaters. This figure also illustrates that the energy coefficient varies between 0.4 and 0.78 for 10 cm of triangular breakwater. However, for 14 cm triangular breakwater, this coefficient ranges from 0.35 to 0.95, indicating that increased submergence widens the spectrum of energy dissipation. Additionally, Figure 11(b) shows that the energy dissipation coefficient (Kd) ranges from 0.4 to 0.85, leading to the conclusion that an increase in submergence indeed expands the range of energy dissipation possibilities.


Figure 9: Effect of relative breakwater width (B/L) on reflection coefficient (Kt) for (a) solid breakwaters, (b) 10 cm, (c) 12 cm, and (d) 14 cm breakwater.


Figure 10: Effect of porosity on reflection coefficient (Kr) for (a) 10 cm, (b) 12 cm, and (c) 14 cm breakwater.

Figure 11: Effect of relative breakwater width (B/L) on energy dissipation coefficient (Kt) for (a) solid breakwaters, (b) 10 cm, (c) 12 cm, and (d) 14 cm breakwater.

In Figure 12, it is shown that the energy dissipation coefficient (Kd) varies with increasing porosity across all three types of submerged triangular breakwaters. In Figure 12(a), there is a slight decrease in Kd with increasing porosity for a submergence of 0.67. However, Kd moderately decreases with rising porosity for a submergence of 0.80. Particularly, the energy dissipation coefficient decreases from 0.95 to 0.35 with an increase in porosity from 0 to 0.28. This suggests that porosity has a more substantial impact on energy dissipation for larger submerged triangular breakwaters.


Figure 12: Effect of porosity on energy dissipation coefficient (Kt) for (a) 10 cm, (b) 12 cm, and (c) 14 cm breakwater.

3.5   Regression Analysis

Developing equations from observed data involves utilizing multiple regression analysis using the least squares method to establish connections between dimensionless coefficients obtained through measurements. These equations specifically relate the transmission coefficient, reflection coefficient, and energy dissipation coefficient concerning factors like the relative breakwater width (B/L), submergence ratio (h/d), and porosity (n) for regular waves. This statistical approach allows for the formulation of predictive equations based on the gathered empirical data, facilitating a quantitative understanding of how these coefficients vary concerning the specified parameters.

$$Kt = 0.844 - 0.308 (B/L) - 0.276 (h/d) + 0.662n \quad (R^2 = 0.582) \tag{5}$$

$$Kr = 0.276 - 0.997(B/L) - 0.056(h/d) - 0.138n \quad (R^2 = 0.237) \tag{6}$$

$$Kd = 0.531 + 0.640(B/L) + 0.184(h/d) - 0.628n \quad (R^2 = 0.486) \tag{7}$$

The analysis reveals that Equation (5) shows an acceptable correlation ($R^2 = 0.582$) between the transmission coefficient and B/L, h/d, and n. Increasing B/L and h/d reduces transmission, while porosity exhibits a positive correlation with wave transmission. However, Equation (6) with an R^2 of

0.237 doesn't demonstrate an acceptable linear correlation with wave reflection, indicating a need for further research in this field. On the other hand, Equation (7) with an R^2 value of 0.486, very close to the acceptable range, signifies a correlation between energy dissipation and relative breakwater width, submergence ratio, and porosity. The coefficient of porosity (-0.628) in Equation (7) indicates a strong negative influence on energy dissipation, while the relative breakwater width shows a positive influence with a coefficient of 0.640.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The experimental investigation explored the hydrodynamic behavior of submerged porous triangular breakwaters under regular wave action. The study conducted 48 laboratory runs in a two-dimensional wave flume involving different submergence conditions. Wave patterns indicated sinusoidal behavior before and after the breakwater but showed non-sinusoidal patterns near and on the breakwater due to vortex formation and energy dissipation. This study found a decrease in the transmission coefficient (Kt) with increasing relative breakwater width, transmitting a minimum of 32% of the incident wave for a solid triangular breakwater with an h/d of 0.93. Porosity had a more pronounced impact on highly submerged breakwaters compared to those with lower submergence. On the other hand, the reflection coefficient (Kr) increased with higher breakwater height and decreased relative width, peaking at 0.43 for a solid 14 cm triangular breakwater, while porosity minimally affects wave reflection. Energy dissipation increases with the B/L ratio but decreases with higher porosity, reaching a maximum (Kd = 0.95) for highly submerged solid triangular breakwaters, where porosity notably affects energy dissipation. The triangular breakwater, featuring variable porosity from 0% to 20% and submerged at 93% (for 14 cm of breakwater), is suggested as an effective choice for shielding coastal areas against similar wave conditions. Regression analysis highlighted the influence of relative breakwater width, porosity, and submergence ratio on the wave behavior. These findings could aid coastal engineers in efficiently designing porous submerged triangular breakwaters for various applications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

Akarsh, P. K., & Chaudhary, B. (2021). Review of Literature on Design of Rubble Mound Breakwaters. International Conference on Civil Engineering Trends and Challenges for Sustainability, 775–796.

Chyon, M. S. A., Rahman, A., & Rahman, M. A. (2017). Comparative study on hydrodynamic performance of porous and non-porous submerged breakwater. Procedia Engineering, 194, 203–210.

Dick, T. M., & Brebner, A. (1968). Solid and permeable submerged breakwaters. In Coastal Engineering 1968 (pp. 1141–1158).

Dong, L., Watanabe, A., & Isobe, M. (1996). Nonlinear wave transformation over a submerged triangular breakwater. In Coastal Engineering 1996 (pp. 2324–2337).

Goda, Y., & Suzuki, T. (1976). ESTIMATION OF INCIDENT AND REFLECTED WAVES IN RANDOM WAVE EXPERIMENTS. https://doi.org/10.9753/ICCE.V15.47

Hasan, M.K., Rahman, M.A. and Womera, S.A.(2022). Experimental Study on the Stability of Concrete Block Revetment for High Waves Propagating over Submerged Geotube Breakwater, International Journal of Coastal and Offshore Engineering (IJCOE), Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 8-15.

Martínez, M. L., Intralawan, A., Vázquez, G., Pérez-Maqueo, O., Sutton, P., & Landgrave, R. (2007). The coasts of our world: Ecological, economic and social importance. Ecological Economics, 63(2–3), 254–272.

Phillips, M. R., & Jones, A. L. (2006). Erosion and tourism infrastructure in the coastal zone: Problems, consequences and management. Tourism Management, 27(3), 517–524.

Silva, R., Martínez, M. L., Hesp, P. A., Catalan, P., Osorio, A. F., Martell, R., Fossati, M., Miot da Silva, G., Mariño-Tapia, I., & Pereira, P. (2014). Present and future challenges of coastal erosion in Latin America. *Journal of Coastal Research*, *71*, 1–16.

Thornton, E. B., & Calhoun, R. J. (1972). Spectral resolution of breakwater reflected waves. Journal of the Waterways, Harbors and Coastal Engineering Division, 98(4), 443–460.

Ting, C.-L., Lin, M.-C., & Cheng, C.-Y. (2004). Porosity effects on non-breaking surface waves over permeable submerged breakwaters. Coastal Engineering, 50(4), 213–224.